# **Student Satisfaction Survey Format**



### St. PETER'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(An ISO Certified Institution) (Sponsored by Shantha Educational Socie (Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUH)

Accredited by NAAC with 'A' grade

### INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

## Questionnaire for Feedback from Students Students' overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching

	Department: Course:	
	Teacher: Year:	
1.	The Syllabus of each course was	
	) Adequate b) inadequate c) challenging d) dull	
2.	Back ground for benefitting from the course was	
	) More then adequate b) adequate c) inadequate d) cannot say	
3.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class	
	) 85 to 100% b) 70 to 85% c) 55 to 70% d) less than 55%	
4.	Vhat is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course	
	) More than adequate b) adequate c) inadequate d) very poor	
5.	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	
	Easily b) with some difficulty c) not available at all d) with great difficulty	
6.	How well teacher prepare for the class?	
	) Thoroughly b) satisfactorily c) poorly d) indifferently	
7.	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	
	Always effective b) sometimes effective c) just satisfactorily d) generally ineffective	
8.	Now far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	
	) Mostly yes b) some times c) not at all d) always	
9.	f, yes which of the following methods were used?	
	a) Encouraged to raise questions b) get involved in discussion in the class c)encourage discussion outside class d) did	not
	ncourage	
10.	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	
	a) very helpful b)some times helpful c) not at all helpful d) did not advise	
11.	The teacher's approach can best be described as?	
	a) Always courteous b) sometimes rude c) always indifferent d) cannot say	
12.	Internal assessment was	
	a) Always fair b) sometimes unfair c) Usually unfair d) Sometimes fair	



### St. PETER'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(An ISO Certified Institution) (Sponsored by Shantha Educational Socie (Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUH)

Accredited by NAAC with 'A' grade

# INTERNAL QUALITY ASSESSMENT CELL Questionnaire for Feedback from Students -2 Students' feedback on Teachers (Separate for each teacher)

Department:	Semester/Term/Year:

Name of the Teacher:

Please rate the teacher on the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown

1.(	3.0	00 2.0	00 1.5	0	0.0
	A	В	C	D	
	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	

Parameters	A		C	D
		В		
	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1.Knowledge base of the Teacher (as				
perceived by you)				
2. Communication skills (interms of				
articulation and comprehensibility)				
3. Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher				
4. Interest generated by teacher				
5. Ability to integrate course material				
with environment/other issues, to				
provide a broader perspective				
6. Ability to integrate content with other				
courses				
7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out				
of the class (includes availability of the				
teacher to motivate further study and				
discussion outside the class				
8. Ability to design				
quizzes/tests/assignments/examination				
and projects to evaluate students				
understanding of the course				
9. Provision of sufficient time for				
feedback				
10 .Overall rating				

				Analysis of	Student 5	Satisfaction	Survey (S	SSS) 2018-19				
FORM	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	4	4	4	4	1	3	3	4	4	3	4	3
2	3	3	4	3	4	4	3	3	4	4	3	3
3	4	4	4	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
4	4	3	3	4	5	2	4	4	4	4	4	3
5	3	2	3	4	3	3	3	2	4	3	3	2
6	4	3	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	3	4	3
7	3	4	4	3	4	3	2	4	4	4	3	4
8	2	4	4	2	3	3	2	3	2	3	2	3
9	4	4	4	1	4	1	4	4	1	4	4	4
10	2	2	2	4	2	2	3	2	4	2	4	2
11	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
12	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	1	4	3	4
13	3	4	4	3	4	1	3	4	3	4	3	3
14	3	1	1	3	1	2	2	1	3	1	4	1
15	4	3	3	2	3	3	4	4	3	3	4	3
16	1	4	4	1	4	4	1	4	2	4	1	4
17	2	2	1	2	1	3	2	2	2	1	2	2
18	3	4	4	3	4	2	3	4	3	4	3	4
19	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	3
20	4	3	4	2	3	3	4	3	2	4	4	3
21	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4
22	2	3	4	4	3	3	2	4	4	3	2	4
23	3	1	4	3	2	3	3	1	3	4	3	1
24	4	2	4	4	3	1	4	2	2	5	4	2
verage	3.066667	3.077775	3.33333	3.03333	3.13333	2.9333	3.1	3.33334	3. <mark>1</mark> 333	3.33	3.2222	2.96663
4-Excellent 3-Good 2-Satis		2-Satisfact	tory	1-Poor								

#### OBSERVATION:

It is observed that some of our students are not upto mark in Receiving the Subject worthfully.

It is observed that students have some lack of Practical knowledge.

#### PLAN OF ACTION TAKEN:

Traning Programmes are to be conducted so improve the communication skills.

Industrial visits, Workshops are to be Conducted in every semester to update the techniques involved in the subject.